

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States, Patcht and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Bax 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.dispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/742,157 12/19/2000		Naoko Iwami	16869C-016600US	9696	
20350 7	590 08/28/2006	EXAMINER			
	AND TOWNSEND AN	TRUONG, I	TRUONG, LAN DAI T		
EIGHTH FLO	CADERO CENTER OR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2152		
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/742,157	IWAMI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lan-Dai Thi Truong	2152		

			12.02	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the c	over sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION	IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to this application, applicant must timely file one of the places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp time periods:	following replies: (a Notice of Appea	(1) an amendment, a I (with appeal fee) ir	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the n				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ex Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box 6	pire later than SIX N	MONTHS from the mail	ling date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MP	, ,			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7 NOTICE OF APPEAL	of extension and the f the shortened statu e later than three mo	e corresponding amour itory period for reply or	nt of the fee. The appropri iginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in a filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	extension thereof	(37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final reject (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE)	er consideration a			ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application i appeal; and/or		ppeal by materially i	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without cancelling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33	-	g number of finally r	ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFF	R 1.121. See attac	hed Notice of Non-C	Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would		omitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			·	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:): a) ∐_ will not be s provided below o	e entered, or b)	will be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final actio because applicant failed to provide a showing of goo was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is nece	to overcome all	ejections under app	eal and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An expla	•		, , ,	•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered.	ed but does NOT p	place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen 13. Other:	t(s). (PTO/SB/08 (WILLIAM VAHG	The state of the s
		•	SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENTE	EXAMINER R 2100

Regarding to applicant's arguments with respect to nowhere in the Kitamura discloses the connection speed, see (column 13, lines 34-38, 48-50; column 6, lines 1-50; column 7, lines 30-47; : Kitamura discloses it should be "higher transfer rate" which is equivalent to "speed of the connection" if the connection goes through bus 28) for further details please see previous final rejection

WILLIAM VAUGHN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100